Published by Institute of Physics Publishing for SISSA



RECEIVED: March 16, 2006 ACCEPTED: April 11, 2006 PUBLISHED: May 5, 2006

Probing universality in the gravity duals of $\mathcal{N}=1$ SYM by γ deformations

Umut Gürsoy

Centre de Physique Théorique, École Polytechnique 91128 Palaiseau, France E-mail: gursoy@cpht.polytechnique.fr

ABSTRACT: Recently, a one-parameter deformation of the Maldacena-Núñez background was constructed in hep-th/0505100. According to the Lunin-Maldacena conjecture, the background is dual to pure $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM in the IR coupled to a KK sector whose dynamics is altered by a dipole deformation that is proportional to the deformation parameter γ . Thus, the deformation serves to identify the aspects of the gravity backgrounds that bear the effects of the KK sector, hence non-universal in the dual gauge theory. We make this idea concrete by studying a Penrose limit of the deformed MN background. We obtain an exactly solvable pp-wave that is conjectured to describe the IR dynamics of KK-hadrons in the field theory. The spectrum, the thermal partition function and the Hagedorn temperature are calculated. Interestingly, the Hagedorn temperature turns out to be independent of the deformation parameter.

KEYWORDS: Supersymmetric gauge theory, Gauge-gravity correspondence, Penrose limit and pp-wave background.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	The MN_{γ} background	4
3.	The Penrose limit	5
	3.1 The pp-wave of the original MN background	5
	3.2 Penrose limit of the metric in MN_{γ}	7
	3.3 Penrose limit of the NS form	9
	3.4 Penrose limits of the RR forms	10
4.	The Spectrum	11
	4.1 The boson spectrum	11
	4.2 The fermion spectrum	12
5.	The thermal partition function	15
6.	The UV asymptotics	18
7.	Discussion and outlook	20
А.	Details of the background	23
в.	The coordinate transformation	24
C.	The regularized Casimir energies	25

1. Introduction

One fundamental obstacle in the holographic approach[2] to Yang-Mills theory is the entanglement of pure YM dynamics with the dynamics of the Kaluza-Klein sectors that arise from compactificaton of the dual 10D geometry to 4 dimensions[3]. Generically, the supergravity approximation becomes invalid in the limit where the KK sector becomes very massive hence decouples from the pure YM dynamics. Thus, one should go beyond the supergravity approximation and study the full string theory on the dual 10D backgrounds. As these backgrounds generically involve RR fields, this is a highly non-trivial problem.

One example of this phenomenon is the Maldacena-Núñez geometry(MN) [4]. The field theory is constructed by wrapping N D5 branes on the non-trivial two-cycle of the resolved conifold in such a way to preserve $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry in the resulting 4D Minkowski space. Upon reduction on S^2 , the theory in 4D becomes $SU(N) \mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM theory with its massless vector multiplet coupled to an infinite tower of massive vector and massive chiral-multiplets.¹ The dual 10 D geometry involves a non-trivial three-cycle inside the CY 3-fold transverse to the Minkowski space. In this dual picture, the masses of the KK modes are inversely proportional to the volume of S^3 . One can try to decouple them in the IR by shrinking this volume by adjusting the value of the dilaton at the origin. However, in this regime the radius of curvature becomes small and the supergravity approximation fails. As a result, the energy scale of the KK-dynamics and the SYM dynamics (e.g. typical mass of a glueball) is at the same order.

A new conjecture on the gauge-gravity correspondence was put forward by the recent work of Lunin and Maldacena [6]. The authors employed an SL(3, R) transformation in order to generate new IIB backgrounds from an original one that involves a torus isometry. The transformation changes the volume of the torus and introduces other changes on the various other fields in the background. According to their conjecture, the transformation introduces certain phases or non-local deformations in the Lagrangian of the original dual field theory. Employing this idea, they discovered the gravity dual of the β deformed $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM[7]. Further literature on this duality can be found in [8] and some applications of the Lunin-Maldacena conjecture to other backgrounds is in [1] and [9].

Relevance of the Lunin-Maldacena conjecture to the aforementioned KK-mixing problem was pointed out in [1], where a non-singular one-parameter subgroup of SL(3, R) with a real parameter γ was applied to the MN background. This results in a new background of IIB that involves a complicated metric, a non-trivial dilaton, NS form and various RRforms. Henceforth we shall denote the background obtained in [1] as MN_{γ} . The details of this background are presented in section 2 and appendix A. According to the prescription of Lunin and Maldacena, the deformation only affects the particular fields on the D5's which are charged under the torus isometry: These fields acquire dipole moments that are proportional to γ [10]. It is observed in [1] that these charged fields become precisely the KK vector and hyper multiplets upon reduction on S^2 , and that, one obtains 4D $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM that is coupled to a KK sector whose interactions among themselves are altered by the deformation parameter γ . It is a local field theory in 4D. Most importantly, the pure SYM sector is left intact under the deformation. Various checks of this idea have been made in [1]. In particular, gravity calculations show that the new theory confines with the same string tension, and that the NSVZ β -function and Θ_{YM} of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM are the same as obtained from the MN background [11]. Thus, the quantities that are inherent to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM are independent of γ . On the other hand, the quantities that are inherent to the KK-sector, such as the masses of the KK modes are shown to transform under the deformation.

The general conclusions of [1] are as follows:

- The MN_{γ} background provides a continuous set of new UV completions of the dual field theory that flows to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM in the far IR,
- The γ deformation increases the masses of the KK modes, hence help decoupling them from the pure SYM sector,² and more importantly,

¹A recent study of the classical spectrum is in [5].

 $^{^{2}\}mathrm{It}$ is unclear how useful this is in practice, because in the supergravity approximation the parameter γ

• The parameter γ serves as a marker that marks the KK-dependent "non-universal" features of the dual field theory, therefore helps identifying in the gravity description, the universal features of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM theory in the IR.

The effects of the dipole deformations on the KK-modes from a field theory viewpoint is very recently discussed in [12]. Further literature that focused on the relevance of the Lunin-Maldacena conjecture for the KK-mixing problem can be found in [13].

In this paper, we further investigate the third highlighted feature above. We provide an illustration of this idea by constructing new quantities from the MN_{γ} background that depend and do not depend on γ , as follows. We consider the Penrose limit of the background that focuses on a null geodesic in the IR. This results in a pp-wave, that is the geometry seen by strings moving very fast along the equator of the S^3 at the origin. This limit was previously studied by Gimon et al. in [14] in case of the original MN background. They have found an exactly solvable pp-wave whose light-cone Hamiltonian is conjectured to be dual to the non-relativistic Hamiltonian that describes the dynamics of what the authors called the "annulons". These objects are long and massive gauge-invariant operators built out of the KK-fields in the geometry and they carry large U(1) charge J (dual to the angular momentum along the geodesic). The 8 transverse directions of the MN pp-wave [14] are the three flat directions that correspond to the 3 spatial directions of M^4 where the KK-hadrons can move, two massive directions of mass $m_0/3$ and two other massive directions of mass m_0 where m_0 is the typical glueball mass. There is also another "accidentally" massless direction that is believed to be an artifact of the Penrose limit and has no relevance for the dual field theory physics. Further literature on the "annulons" is in refs.[15].

We review the MN pp-wave geometry in section 3.1 and obtain the generalization of their construction to the MN_{γ} geometry in the sections 3.2–3.4. This generalization proved technically hard both because the 10D MN_{γ} metric is much more complicated than the original MN metric and because there are more fields present in the background. We show that, in order to obtain a non-trivial pp-wave one should also rescale the deformation parameter as (this is similar to the pp-wave constructed in [6]),

$$\gamma \to 0, \quad J \to \infty, \quad \gamma J = \tilde{\gamma} = const.$$
 (1.1)

We work out the Penrose limit of the metric in section 3.2 and obtain the limits of the NS form and the various RR-forms in sections 3.3 and 3.4. In particular, we show that the deformation parameter in the pp-wave limit can alternatively be thought as the value of the axion in the geometry:

$$\chi = \frac{\gamma}{4}.\tag{1.2}$$

As the light-cone Hamiltonian of this pp-wave is conjectured to describe the dynamics of the hadrons that are built out of the KK-modes in the dual field theory [14], one expects to see that the geometry depends non-trivially on the dipole moment γ . We show that this

is restricted to obey $\gamma R^2 \ll 1$ where $R \gg 1$ is the radius of curvature.

is indeed the case: The masses of the massive directions shift by,

$$M^2 \to M^2 + \frac{\tilde{\gamma}^2}{4},\tag{1.3}$$

with respect to the pp-wave of the original MN geometry.

The pp-wave is quadratic, hence exactly solvable. In the sections 4.1 and 4.2 respectively, we compute the spectrum of bosonic and fermionic string excitations in the light-cone gauge and find that, generically, the spectrum looks as follows,

$$w_n = \sqrt{(n+a\tilde{\gamma})^2 + bM^2},\tag{1.4}$$

where a and b are coefficients that vary in different sectors of the spectrum. We note that (1.4) is very similar to the pp-wave spectrum found in [16] and [6].

We continue our investigation of the MN_{γ} pp-wave, by studying the thermal properties of strings in this background. The thermal partition function of single-string excitations in the maximally-symmetric pp-wave background [17] has been studied in [18]. It was shown that the partition function becomes ill-defined at a Hagedorn temperature which varies according to the mass parameter. Similarly, the UV asymptotics of the MN pp-wave of [14] was studied in [19].

In section 6, we compute the thermal partition function of single-strings in our geometry and in section 7 we derive the Hagedorn temperature. Quite surprisingly, this temperature turns out to be independent of the deformation parameter, although the partition function exhibits non-trivial dependence on $\tilde{\gamma}$. Thus, according to our general discussion above, the Hagedorn temperature may serve as a non-trivial "universal" quantity, that is relevant for the pure SYM dynamics. A discussion of this and further points follow in the last section. Three appendices detail our computations.

2. The MN_{γ} background

The background of [1] is conjectured to be dual to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM with a new infinite family of UV completions parametrized by $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$. The metric of [1] can be put in the following simpler and useful form (in the string frame):

$$ds^2 = ds_0^2 + ds_\gamma^2.$$
 (2.1)

The first term is the original Maldacena-Núñez metric[4],

$$ds_0^2 = e^{\phi} \left\{ dx_{1,3}^2 + \frac{1}{m_0^2} \left(dr^2 + e^{2h} \left(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2 \right) + \frac{1}{4} \left(w^i - A^i \right)^2 \right) \right\}, \qquad (2.2)$$

where ϕ is the dilaton in the MN background (appendix A). The parameter that appears in the metric is the typical glueball mass, $m_0^2 = (\alpha' g_s N)^{-1}$. The gauge fields A^i determines the fibration of the S^2 on the S^3 and w^i are the left invariant SU(2) one-forms (for details, see appendix A). The second part of (2.1) is a five dimensional metric on the angular variables in the geometry:

$$ds_{\gamma}^{2} = \left(\frac{e^{\phi}}{4m_{0}^{2}}\right)^{3} \frac{\gamma^{2}}{1+\gamma^{2}F^{2}} \ d\Omega_{5}^{2}(r,\theta,\tilde{\theta},\psi).$$
(2.3)

The explicit forms of F and $d\Omega_5$ are presented in appendix A.

Note that this form of the metric in (2.1) is useful because it clearly isolates the gammadependent part in the geometry. It also involves many simplifications with respect to the original form given in [1]. The parameter γ in the metric only appears as in (2.3).

Apart from the metric, there is a non-trivial dilaton, axion and various forms in the geometry[1]. The dilaton and the axion are given by,

$$e^{\phi_{\gamma}} = \frac{e^{\phi}}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2 F^2}}, \qquad \chi = -\frac{\gamma}{4m_0^2}g,$$
 (2.4)

where the function $g(r, \theta, \tilde{\theta}, \psi)$ is defined in (A.6).

The original MN solution is supported by a 3-form RR flux $F^{(3)} = dC_0^{(2)}$ on the nontrivial S^3 in the geometry. An expression for $C_0^{(2)}$ is given in the appendix A. After the γ deformation it gets deformed into,

$$C_{\gamma}^{(2)} = C_0^{(2)} - \frac{1}{4m_0^2} \frac{\gamma^2 F^2}{1 + \gamma^2 F^2} g \left(d\varphi + \mathcal{A}_1 \right) \wedge \left(d\tilde{\varphi} + \mathcal{A}_2 \right).$$
(2.5)

The first term is the original MN flux and we define the one-forms, \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_2 in appendix A. Again, this form of the two-form involves many simplifications over the original expression given in [1] ³ It also has the advantage of clearly displaying the γ dependent piece.

There is also a four-form flux which by using the expressions in [1, appendix A] can be put in the following form,

$$C_{\gamma}^{(4)} = -C_{\gamma}^{(2)} \wedge B_{\gamma}^{(2)} = -C^{(2)} \wedge B_{\gamma}^{(2)}.$$
(2.6)

where $B_{\gamma}^{(2)}$ is the NS-NS two-form flux that is absent in the original MN background but generated after the γ transformation in the deformed geometry. It is given as,

$$B_{\gamma}^{(2)} = \frac{\gamma F^2}{1 + \gamma^2 F^2} (d\varphi + \mathcal{A}_1) \wedge (d\tilde{\varphi} + \mathcal{A}_2).$$
(2.7)

Although the background is quite complicated, we show in the following section that it admits a nice Penrose limit which results in a simple, quadratic pp-wave.

3. The Penrose limit

3.1 The pp-wave of the original MN background

It was argued in [14] that the dynamics of the KK-hadrons in the gauge theory is captured by the pp-wave geometry that is obtained from the original metric by focusing on a geodesic

³There is a mistake in the original expression of $C^{(2)}$ in [1]. We thank C. Ahn for pointing this out.

on the S^3 at IR. Recall that the original MN metric has a non-trivial S^3 at the origin and this fact is unchanged by the γ deformation, as can be seen from (2.1) and (2.3). In this section we first recall the Penrose limit of the original MN geometry [14] and then we apply the same steps to the more complicated MN_{γ} geometry. Our limit focuses on the same geodesic as in [14], hence we shall be able to compare the resulting pp-waves in the original and the deformed MN geometries and learn about the precise effects of the deformation on the field theory dynamics.

The Penrose limit of an arbitrary Lorentzian space-time [20] as generalized to supergravity by [21] utilizes the homogeneity property of the supergravity action under constant scalings of the metric and the various other fields in the geometry. Therefore, the best way to keep track of the scalings of the fields in our geometry is to look at the IIB SG Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{10}^2} e^{-2\phi} \left(-\mathcal{R} * 1 + 4d\phi \wedge *d\phi - \frac{1}{2}H_3 \wedge *H_3 \right) -\frac{1}{4\kappa_{10}^2} \left(d\chi \wedge *d\chi + \tilde{F}_3 \wedge *\tilde{F}_3 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{F}_5 \wedge *\tilde{F}_5 \right).$$
(3.1)

The combinations of the forms that appear in (3.1) are defined as,

$$\tilde{F}_3 = dC_2 - \chi H, \quad \tilde{F}_5 = dC_4 - \frac{1}{2}C_2 \wedge H + \frac{1}{2}B_2 \wedge F_3 + \frac{1}{2}B \wedge B \wedge d\chi.$$
(3.2)

Let us now recall the pp-wave limit of the first part in (2.1), [14]. The authors of [14] introduce a coordinate patch near a geodesic on S_3 at the origin by the following change of variables in (2.2):

$$\vec{x} \to \frac{\vec{x}}{R}, \ r \to \frac{m_0}{R}r, \ \tilde{\theta} \to \frac{2m_0}{R}v.$$
 (3.3)

The limit, then is defined by setting the value of the dilaton at origin as

$$e^{\phi_0} = R^2,$$
 (3.4)

and taking $R \to \infty$ in (3.3) and (3.4). However, the computation is not straightforward because the one-forms A_i in (2.2) blow-up as $R \to \infty$. This is because the gauge field A^i is pure gauge at the origin:

$$A = -idh h^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(r^2), \ h = e^{-i\sigma^1 \frac{\theta}{2}} e^{-i\sigma^3 \frac{\varphi}{2}}.$$
(3.5)

Gimon et al. solve this problem by gauging away the pure gauge part of A by the following change of variables on S^{3} :⁴

$$g \to h g, \quad g = e^{-i\sigma^3 \frac{\psi}{2}} e^{-i\sigma^1 \frac{\theta}{2}} e^{-i\sigma^3 \frac{\tilde{\varphi}}{2}}.$$
 (3.6)

After this is done, the new gauge field A' becomes $\mathcal{O}(r^2)$. Therefore the limit that scales $r \to 0$ in (2.2) becomes well-defined. This trick amounts to finding a better parametrization

 $^{{}^{4}}$ In [14], this was done by a gauge transformation on A in the 7D picture. However we need the picture where we view this transformation as a change of variables in the 10D.

of the 10D solution that is suitable for the pp-wave limit in question. Calling the new angular variables the same as the original ones, Gimon et al. then introduce a shift in the angles to absorb various angular cross terms in the resulting metric (see [14] for details):

$$\varphi \to \varphi - \frac{1}{6}(\psi + \tilde{\varphi}), \ \tilde{\varphi} \to \tilde{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2}(\psi + \tilde{\varphi}).$$
 (3.7)

Finally, one defines the light-cone coordinates,

$$x^{+} = x^{0}, \ x^{-} = \frac{R^{2}}{2} \left(x^{0} - \frac{\psi + \tilde{\varphi}}{2m_{0}} \right),$$
 (3.8)

and takes the Penrose limit, $R \to \infty$. This results in the following linear, quantizable pp-wave geometry[14]:

$$ds_0^2 = -4dx^+ dx^- + d\vec{x}^2 + d\vec{y}^2 + d\vec{z}^2 - m_0^2 (dx^+)^2 \left(z_1^2 + z_2^2 + \frac{1}{9}y_2^2 + \frac{1}{9}y_3^2\right).$$
(3.9)

Here $\vec{z} = (z_1, z_2)$ and $\vec{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ are coordinates on \mathbf{R}^2 and \mathbf{R}^3 . They are related to the original variables in the MN geometry as follows:

$$y_1 = r\cos\theta, \ y_2 = r\sin\theta\cos\varphi, \ y_3 = r\sin\theta\sin\varphi,$$

$$z_1 = v\cos\tilde{\varphi}, \ z_2 = v\sin\tilde{\varphi}.$$
 (3.10)

We see that there are three massless coordinates that correspond to the spatial coordinates of the original Minkowski space, two massive coordinates with masses m_0^2 , two other massive coordinates with mass $\frac{1}{9}m_0^2$ and one "accidentally" massless coordinate, y_3 . We have more to say about this massless coordinate below.

Having established the steps that lead to a well-defined pp-wave metric above, one can easily work out the limit of the 3-form in the MN geometry [14]:

$$C_{pp}^{(2)} = \frac{2m_0}{R^2} x^+ \Big\{ dz_1 \wedge dz_2 + \frac{1}{3} dy_2 \wedge dy_3 \Big\}.$$
(3.11)

We note that the scaling of various objects in the geometry with R is consistent with (3.1): The metric (2.2) scales as R^0 , using (3.4) and (3.3). Thus $\mathcal{R} \sim R^0$ and the first part of (3.1) scales as R^{-4} . Similarly, the second part of (3.1) scales as R^{-4} , using (3.11). Therefore the overall factor of R^{-4} in (3.1) can consistently be absorbed as

$$\kappa_{10}^2 \to \kappa_{10}^2 R^4.$$
(3.12)

3.2 Penrose limit of the metric in MN_{γ}

Now, we apply the same steps to the second part of the metric in (2.1). At first sight, it seems hopeless to obtain a linear pp-wave geometry in the same limit, (3.3) as ds_{γ}^2 is extremely non-linear. However we will show below that this first impression is wrong.

One first carries out the change of variables (3.6) in the second part of (2.1). One needs the explicit form of the new angles in terms of the old ones. Let us denote the new variables with bars upon them. We present the expressions that relate new angles $\overline{\theta}$, $\overline{\psi}$ and $\overline{\varphi}$ to the old ones, $\tilde{\theta}$, ψ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ in appendix B.

In practice, one only needs the expressions for the leading order terms in the expansions of $\sin \overline{\theta}$, $\cos \overline{\theta}$, $\sin \overline{\psi}$ and $\cos \overline{\psi}$ functions that appear in (A.6) in the variable $\tilde{\theta}$. This is because we will eventually take the Penrose limit $R \to \infty$ in (3.3). We present these expansions also in the appendix B.

Inserting these expansions given by (B.2) in (A.6) one obtains a nice result after many simplifications: $d\Omega_5^2$ in (2.3) becomes order $1/R^2$! In detail, after the redefinitions in (3.3), this angular piece becomes,

$$d\Omega_5 \to \frac{4m_0^2}{R^2} v^2 \sin^2(\psi - \varphi) (d\theta - d\overline{\theta})^2 + \frac{4m_0^2}{R^2} \left(v^2 + r^2 \sin^2\theta\right) (d\varphi + d\overline{\varphi})^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{R^2}\right) d\overline{\psi} (d\overline{\psi} + \cdots).$$
(3.13)

From this observation, it follows that one can define a non-singular pp-wave limit (3.3) also in (2.3) if we also rescale γ such that,⁵

$$\gamma \to 0, \ R \to \infty, \ \tilde{\gamma} = \gamma R^2 = fixed.$$
 (3.14)

We also note that $f - g^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(1/R^2)$, hence the denominator of the term in front of $d\Omega_5^2$ in (2.3) becomes 1.

When one applies the change of variables in (B.2) in the metric, one should also apply them to the one-forms $d\psi$, $d\tilde{\varphi}$ and $d\tilde{\theta}$. It should now be clear that we only have to keep the zeroth order terms:

$$d\overline{\theta} = d\theta + \mathcal{O}(1/R), \ d\overline{\varphi} = d\tilde{\varphi} + d\psi - d\varphi + \mathcal{O}(1/R), \ d\overline{\psi} = \mathcal{O}(1/R).$$
(3.15)

In particular all the terms that multiply $d\overline{\psi}$ in (3.13) go away in the limit. Another nice surprise that follows from (3.15) is that the first term in (3.13) disappears! If this did not happen we would get a non-linear term $\sin^2(\psi - \varphi)$.

Having carried out the change of variables, we call the new angles $\overline{\psi}$, $\overline{\theta}$ and $\overline{\varphi}$ back as ψ , $\tilde{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}$. The next steps are to apply the same shift in the angles as in (3.7) define the light-cone coordinates in (3.8) and take the limit $R \to \infty$ in ds_{γ}^2 . These steps are simple and one arrives at the following contribution from the second part of the metric in (2.1):

$$ds_{\gamma}^2 \to -\frac{\tilde{\gamma}^2}{4m_0^2} (dx^+)^2 \left(z_1^2 + z_2^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 \right).$$
(3.16)

We combine (3.9) with (3.16) and obtain our final expression:

$$ds_{pp}^{2} = -4dx^{+}dx^{-} + d\vec{x}^{2} + d\vec{y}^{2} + d\vec{z}^{2} - m_{0}^{2}(dx^{+})^{2} \left(\left(1 + \frac{\bar{\gamma}^{2}}{4} \right) (z_{1}^{2} + z_{2}^{2}) + \left(\frac{1}{9} + \frac{\bar{\gamma}^{2}}{4} \right) (y_{2}^{2} + y_{3}^{2}) \right). \tag{3.17}$$

Here we introduced the dimensionless quantity $\bar{\gamma}$:

$$\bar{\gamma} = \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{m_0^2}.\tag{3.18}$$

⁵One can also try $\gamma R^3 = fixed$ but this gives exactly the original PP-wave of MN as the γ dependence vanishes.

We observe that the masses of the massive directions are shifted by terms $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{\gamma}^2)$ under the transformation. This is in accordance with our expectations. According to the conjecture of [14], the pp-wave essentially carries information about the hadrons made out of the KK-modes. If this conjecture is correct, we expect that the massive directions get deformed in the pp-wave of the MN_{γ} geometry. We indeed observe this in (3.17). Actually, one has to be more careful in identifying the precise effect of the γ deformation.⁶ The mass shift in one direction can be absorbed by a constant rescaling of the coordinates. It is the change in the ratio of two massive directions, that has an invariant meaning. We see in (3.17) that the ratio of the y_2 , y_3 to the z_1 , z_2 directions indeed change under this process as,

$$\frac{m_y^2}{m_z^2} = \frac{1}{9} \to \frac{1/9 + \bar{\gamma}^2/4}{1 + \bar{\gamma}^2/4} \,. \tag{3.19}$$

Moreover, the massless directions are protected. One indeed expects no change in the three massless directions x_i as they are protected by space translation symmetries in the original MN model and also in the γ deformed theory. However the masslessness of y_1 not being lifted by the γ -deformation is disturbing. This implies that the "accidental" symmetry that protected y_1 is still there in the Penrose limit of the γ -deformed theory. It is believed that this accidental symmetry of the MN hadrons is just a non-universal feature of the model [14]. We also believe that the same feature in the γ -deformed theory is also non-universal and is not expected to arise for example neither in the pp-wave limit of the γ -deformed Klebanov-Strassler (KS) theory [22], [14, 6] or in the γ -deformed G2 model [23].

However, a more striking observation follows from (3.17): Comparison of the pp-waves of MN and KS models led the authors of [14] identify the two massive directions z_1 and z_2 —which happened to have the same mass, m_0^2 both in the MN and the KS model as exhibiting a common feature that is expected to be inherent to the "hadron" sector in the gravity duals of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM. These directions were called "universal" in [14] and in some further literature. Here, we see that this statement should be modified. The γ deformed model also flows to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM in the far infrared, however the masses of z_1 and z_2 here depend on γ , hence model dependent and non-universal. Non-universality of these directions also follow from comparison of MN and KS pp-waves to that of the G2 model [23].

3.3 Penrose limit of the NS form

The construction of the bosonic world-sheet action of the pp-wave involves the Penrose limit of the NS-form. As we show in section 4.1, the NS form contributes non-trivially to the frequencies of the higher string modes, n > 0.

As the computation for the metric is given in detail above, we shall only present the final result for the NS form here:

$$B_{pp}^{(2)} = \frac{\bar{\gamma}m_0}{2} \left(y_2 dy_3 - y_3 dy_2 + z_2 dz_1 - z_1 dz_2 \right) \wedge dx^+.$$
(3.20)

⁶We are grateful to Elias Kiritsis for taking our attention to this point.

The dimensionless parameter $\bar{\gamma}$ is defined in (3.18). This is given in a gauge convenient for construction of the world-sheet action. The gauge independent object is the NS-3 form flux:

$$H_{pp}^{(3)} = \bar{\gamma} m_0 (dy_2 \wedge dy_3 - dz_1 \wedge dz_2) \wedge dx^+.$$
(3.21)

3.4 Penrose limits of the RR forms

In the Penrose limit (3.14), the axion becomes,

$$\chi_{pp} = \frac{\bar{\gamma}}{4} \frac{1}{R^2}.\tag{3.22}$$

Therefore one can alternatively think of the deformation parameter γ as the expectation value of the axion in the PP-wave geometry. We note that in the Lagrangian (3.1) and in the equations of motion, only the combination $\chi_{pp}R^2$ appears which stays finite in the limit. The same applies to the RR three-form below.

The limit of the RR two-form (2.5) is very easy to obtain. The pp-limit of the first term in (2.5) was already obtained in [14]. On the other hand the second part is proportional to the NS-form (2.7) thus we can directly use our result in the previous subsection. The result is,

$$C_{pp}^{(2)} = \frac{2m_0}{R^2} x^+ \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\bar{\gamma}^2}{8} \right) dz_1 \wedge dz_2 + \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{\bar{\gamma}^2}{8} \right) dy_2 \wedge dy_3 \right\}$$
(3.23)

or equivalently,

$$F_{pp}^{(3)} = \frac{2m_0}{R^2} dx^+ \wedge \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\bar{\gamma}^2}{8} \right) dz_1 \wedge dz_2 + \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{\bar{\gamma}^2}{8} \right) dy_2 \wedge dy_3 \right\}.$$
 (3.24)

The RR four-form is given by (2.6). In the limit this becomes,

$$C_{pp}^{(4)} = -C_{pp}^{(2)} \wedge B_{pp}^{(2)} = 0, \qquad (3.25)$$

where we used (3.20) and (3.23). The fact that the four-form vanishes in the pp-wave limit is quite a nice simplification for the spectrum of string oscillators in this geometry. In particular, the masses of the fermionic oscillators do not acquire contribution from the 5-form. The same is true for the pp-wave [14] that follows from the Klebanov-Strassler (KS) geometry [22].

The combinations of forms that enter the equations of motion and the supersymmetry variation equations in IIB are $\tilde{F}^{(3)} = F^{(3)} - \chi H^{(3)}$ and $\tilde{F}^{(5)} = dC^{(4)} - \frac{1}{2}C^{(2)} \wedge H^{(3)} - \frac{1}{2}B^{(2)} \wedge F^{(3)} - \frac{1}{2}B \wedge B \wedge d\chi$. Using the above expressions we obtain,

$$\tilde{F}^{(3)} = \frac{2m_0}{R^2} dx^+ \wedge \left(dz_1 \wedge dz_2 + \frac{1}{3} dy_2 \wedge dy_3 \right), \qquad (3.26)$$

and

$$\tilde{F}^{(5)} = 0.$$
 (3.27)

Interestingly, $\tilde{F}^{(3)}$ has exactly the same expression as the $\tilde{F}^{(3)}$ of the pp-wave of the original MN geometry [14]: the gamma deformation does not affect the three-form flux $\tilde{F}^{(3)}$. This

fact also has important implications for the fermionic string spectrum. We also note that the combination \tilde{F}_3 that appears in (3.1) comes out with a homogeneous scaling with R. This fact makes the Penrose limit well-defined and also provides a check on our computations. One again checks that both the first and the second parts of (3.1) scale as R^{-4} , hence this factor can be absorbed into the redefinition (3.12).

In summary, the new pp-wave geometry is given by eqs. (3.17), (3.22), (3.21), (3.24) and (3.27). This is a result of a long and tedious computation, hence it is crucial to confirm our findings by checking the equations of motion. The only non-trivial Einstein equation in this pp-wave geometry is,

$$R_{++} = \frac{1}{4} (H_{+ij} H_{+}^{ij} - \frac{1}{12} H_{ijk} H^{ijk}) + \frac{e^{2\phi}}{4} (\tilde{F}_{+ij} \tilde{F}_{+}^{ij} - \frac{1}{12} \tilde{F}_{ijk} \tilde{F}^{ijk}).$$
(3.28)

It is straightforward to verify that both the RHS and LHS of this equation equal the sum of the masses, $\sum_i m_i^2 = m_0^2 (\frac{20}{9} + \bar{\gamma}^2)$.

4. The Spectrum

4.1 The boson spectrum

In this section we derive the bosonic part of the world-sheet action in the light-cone gauge and compute the spectrum of the bosonic string modes. The bosonic action is,

$$S = \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{g} \left(g^{ab} \partial_a X^{\mu} \partial_b X^{\nu} G_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon^{ab} \partial_a X^{\mu} \partial_b X^{\nu} B_{\mu\nu} \right), \tag{4.1}$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$ are given by equations (3.17) and (3.20).

The symmetries of the action are fixed by the light-cone gauge condition:

$$g_{ab} = diag(-1,1), \qquad X^+ = \alpha' p^+ \tau.$$
 (4.2)

Inserting this in (4.1) immediately shows that we have 4 massless oscillators, x_1 , x_2 , x_3 and y_1 and two systems of coupled oscillators y_2 , y_3 and z_1 , z_2 . The coupling is due to the non-trivial NS-form. The same coupling arises in the pp-wave constructed from the KS solution [14]. The coupled systems are easily solved by introducing the mode expansions,

$$y_2 = \sum_n A_n e^{(iw_n \tau + n\sigma)}, \qquad y_3 = \sum_n B_n e^{(iw_n \tau + n\sigma)},$$
 (4.3)

and similarly for z_1 and z_2 . One obtains the following spectrum for y_2 and y_3

$$(w_n^y)^2 = \frac{\overline{m}_0^2}{9} + \left(\frac{\overline{m}_0\bar{\gamma}}{2} \pm n\right)^2,$$
 (4.4)

and for z_1 and z_2 ,

$$(w_n^z)^2 = \overline{m}_0^2 + \left(\frac{\overline{m}_0 \bar{\gamma}}{2} \pm n\right)^2, \qquad (4.5)$$

where we introduced the following dimensionless parameter,

$$\overline{m}_0 = m_0 \alpha' p^+, \tag{4.6}$$

and $\bar{\gamma}$ is defined in terms of $\tilde{\gamma}$ as in (3.18). In addition to (4.4) and (4.5), there are of course the massless directions x_1, x_2, x_3 and y_1 in (3.17) with frequencies

$$w_n^0 = n. (4.7)$$

A number of observations follow from (4.4) and (4.5). First of all, the gamma-deformed spectrum goes over to the original spectrum of the MN pp-wave [14] in the limit $\bar{\gamma} \to 0$. One also observes that, as in the case of the KS pp-wave, the presence of NS two-form does not affect the zero frequencies.

4.2 The fermion spectrum

The fermionic part of the world-sheet action in a general pp-wave background is obtained in [24], [25] (see also [26]) from the Green-Schwarz action in the light-cone gauge,

$$\Gamma^+ \theta^I = 0, \tag{4.8}$$

where θ^{I} , I = 1, 2 are the 16 component Majarona-Weyl spinors of IIB. The fermion action becomes,

$$S_F = \frac{i}{\pi} \int d\sigma^2 \left(\eta^{\alpha\beta} \delta_{IJ} - \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \rho_{IJ}^3 \right) \partial_\alpha X^\mu \partial_\beta X^\nu \bar{\theta}^I \Gamma_\mu \mathcal{D}_\nu \theta^J.$$
(4.9)

The covariant derivative, \mathcal{D} , which also appears in the supersymmetry variation of the gravitinos is (for constant axion and dilaton and vanishing 5-form),

with

$$H_{\mu} = H_{\nu\rho\sigma}\Gamma^{\nu\rho\sigma}_{\mu} - 9H_{\mu\nu\rho}\Gamma^{\nu\rho}$$
(4.11)

and similarly for \tilde{F} .

As first observed in [25], the RR 3-form contributes as a mass term for the fermions and the NS 3-form couples the two sets of fermionic oscillators via a chiral interaction term. In our linear pp-wave geometry, the action is still quadratic, thus it is straightforward to compute the spectrum. One substitutes the expressions for the RR forms in section 3.4 in (4.9) and derives the equations of motion for θ^I . To solve the system one combines them as, $\epsilon = \theta^1 + i\theta^2$, and Fourier expands,

$$\epsilon = \sum_{n} \epsilon_n(\tau) e^{in\sigma}.$$
(4.12)

The equation of motion, then takes the following form:

$$\ddot{\epsilon}_n = \left(\tilde{I} + (H - in)^2 + i[\tilde{I}, H]\right) \epsilon_n.$$
(4.13)

Here we defined

$$H = -\frac{1}{8}p^+ \alpha' \Gamma^{ij} H_{+ij}, \qquad (4.14)$$

and similarly for \tilde{F} . We first note from eqs. (3.21) and (3.26) that the commutator term in (4.13) drops. This is in contrast to what happens in the two other similar examples of

the pp-wave geometries with non-trivial 3-forms that appeared in the AdS/CFT literature, namely the pp-wave of the KS geometry [14] and the pp-wave obtained in [26]. As a result, the spectrum is simpler than that of those. The eq. (4.13) becomes,

$$\ddot{\epsilon}_n = \left\{ \frac{\overline{m}_0^2}{4} \left(-\frac{10}{9} - \frac{\bar{\gamma}^2}{2} + \left(\frac{2}{3} - \frac{\bar{\gamma}^2}{2} \right) \Gamma^{1234} \right) - n^2 + \frac{i}{2} n \overline{m}_0 \bar{\gamma} \left(\Gamma^{12} - \Gamma^{34} \right) \right\} \epsilon_n.$$
(4.15)

Here we denote the z_1 , z_2 , y_2 and y_3 directions in (3.17) as 1,2,3 and 4, respectively.

Solving (4.15) is a standard exercise performed by expanding ϵ_n in the eigenbasis of $i\Gamma^{12}$ and $i\Gamma^{34}$:

$$i\Gamma^{12}|\pm\cdot\rangle = \pm|\pm\cdot\rangle, \quad i\Gamma^{34}|\cdot\pm\rangle = \pm|\cdot\pm\rangle.$$
 (4.16)

One obtains the following sets of doubly degenerate frequencies (double degeneracy is because ϵ is complex),

$$(w_n^{++})^2 = (w_n^{--})^2 = \frac{4}{9}\overline{m}_0^2 + n^2, \qquad (4.17)$$

$$(w_n^{+-})^2 = \frac{\overline{m}_0^2}{9} + \left(\frac{\overline{m}_0\bar{\gamma}}{2} - n\right)^2,$$
 (4.18)

$$(w_n^{-+})^2 = \frac{\overline{m}_0^2}{9} + \left(\frac{\overline{m}_0\bar{\gamma}}{2} + n\right)^2.$$
(4.19)

We note that the fermion spectrum reduces to that of the original MN pp-wave in [14], when $\bar{\gamma}$ is taken to zero. This is an important check on the computation. We also see that the spectrum is invariant under $\gamma \to -\gamma$ as it should be. Flipping the sign of γ can be undone by a trivial redefinition of chiralities and relabeling of the coordinates $y_2 \leftrightarrow y_3$, $z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2$.

We observe that turning on the γ parameter alters the whole spectrum of the original theory except the massless sector of the boson spectrum in (4.7) and the (++) and (--) subsectors of the fermion modes. As these subsectors are independent of $\bar{\gamma}$ they correspond to a "universal" part of the field theory that is left invariant under the alteration of the UV theory by gamma deformation.

We demonstrate the shift of the spectrum in fig.1. We observe that turning on the $\bar{\gamma}$ parameter, partially removes some degeneracy in the spectrum of the original pp-wave. Also, and more importantly, the shift in the frequencies are positive on average! This fact is in accord with the main result of [1], namely the fact that the deformation increases the masses of the KK modes hence help decoupling them from the pure gauge dynamics. Here we can see explicitly how the spectrum is shifted. It is interesting to note that the change in the spectrum is somewhat complicated. For example in the w_- branch of fig.1, the lower modes $n < \overline{m_0} \bar{\gamma}/4$ are shifted up, whereas all the modes $n > \overline{m_0} \bar{\gamma}/4$ are shifted down. In the w_+ branch, all of the modes, n > 0, are shifted up. For every mode that shifts down for $n > \overline{m_0} \bar{\gamma}/4$ in the w_- branch, there is a corresponding mode that shifts up in the w_+ branch. However, for $n < \overline{m_0} \bar{\gamma}/4$ all of the modes shift up. Roughly, the average effect of turning γ on is to shift about $[\overline{m_0} \bar{\gamma}/4]$ of the modes up by an amount proportional to $(\overline{m_0} \bar{\gamma})^2$. Thus, in order to remove more of the modes from the spectrum, one clearly needs to increase the scale $\bar{\gamma} \overline{m_0}$. This shifts the minimum of w_- curve in fig.1 toward the right.



Figure 1: The solid lines show the new spectrum in (4.5) and the dashed line show the old spectrum for $\gamma = 0$. The old spectrum splits into w_+ and w_- branches, hence removing the degeneracy partially. The arrows indicate the shift in some of the modes as γ is turned on. These curves illustrates the shifts in the spectra which also takes place in the same way in (4.4) and in the fermion spectrum.

In the dual field theory, the Penrose limit corresponds to sweeping out all of the states in the Hilbert space as $J \to \infty$ except the ones that carry finite light-cone momentum and energy [14]:

$$P^{+} = \frac{i}{2}\partial_{-} = \frac{m_{0}J}{R^{2}} = \frac{m_{0}}{R^{2}} \left(J_{\psi} + J_{\tilde{\varphi}} - \frac{1}{3}J_{\varphi} \right) = const,$$
(4.20)

$$H = i\partial_+ = E - m_0 J = const. \tag{4.21}$$

Here, $J_{\alpha} = -i\partial_{\alpha}$ is the angular momentum in the α direction. In order to derive the relation between the light-cone momentum and the angular momentum in (4.21), one should recall the relation of the original MN angles with the angles used here via the change of variables in (3.6) and (3.7).

Let us now understand the supersymmetry properties of the spectrum. As clearly seen by comparing the boson and fermion spectra above, the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry of the MN_{γ} background is broken in the pp-wave limit. The reason is as follows [14]: The charge that is used to take the Penrose limit, namely the U(1) charge J, does not correspond to an isometry of the original MN background because the only U(1) symmetries of the original background are $J_2 = -i\partial_{\tilde{\varphi}}$ and $J_1 = -i(\partial_{\varphi} - \partial_{\psi})$ whereas $J = -i\partial_{\tilde{\varphi}} + i/3\partial_{\varphi} - i\partial_{\psi}$. This is a symmetry that only governs the particular sector of the Hilbert space of operators in the dual field theory, that is isolated by the rescaling, $J \to \infty$. Therefore, it does not commute with the four supercharges of the MN background: supersymmetry is explicitly broken. However, $J + 2i/3\partial_{\varphi}$ corresponds to a symmetry and we should be able to see the presence of two fermionic zero modes in the spectrum if one shifts the Hamiltonian by $H \to H + 2/3J_{\varphi}$, hence the frequencies by $-2\overline{m}_0/3$. We indeed obtain four zero modes from (4.17) by this shift, for n = 0.

Accordingly, one expects that, although the supersymmetry is broken, the spectrum should obey the supersymmetric mass sum rules [27] that requires equality of the fermion and boson mass squares in a theory of spontaneously or explicitly broken supersymmetry (under certain conditions). Indeed, we see that the sum of the fermion frequencies in (4.17), (4.18) and (4.19) and the boson frequencies in (4.4), (4.5) and (4.7) match order by order in n:

$$\sum (w_n^b)^2 = \sum (w_n^f)^2 = \frac{20}{9}\overline{m}_0^2 + n^2 + \overline{m}_0^2\bar{\gamma}^2, \quad \forall n.$$
(4.22)

This condition guarantees that the zero-point energy of the corresponding string theory remains finite [28]. In case of the maximally supersymmetric pp-wave, the zero-point energy is not only finite but zero.

Finally, let us discuss an interesting limit of our pp-wave geometry. From the spectrum in (4.4), (4.5), (4.17), (4.18) and (4.19), we see that the spectrum further simplifies in the limit,

$$m_0 \to 0, \ \tilde{\gamma} \to 0, \ \hat{\gamma} = \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{m_0} = const.$$
 (4.23)

This can be achieved by taking $g_s N \to \infty$ while keeping α' and p^+ constant and rescaling $\tilde{\gamma}$ as above. We note that the spectrum explicitly displays supersymmetry in this limit. Both the boson and fermion frequencies come in two types: the flat oscillators $w_n = n$ and the "twisted-flat" oscillators $w_n = |n \pm \alpha' p^+ \hat{\gamma}|$. From the metric (3.17), we observe that this enhancement in susy is accompanied by an enhancement of the transverse isometry to $SO(4) \times SO(4)$. From (3.20), (3.26), we also observe that only the NS two-form is non-trivial. It is the simplest type of pp-wave geometry with a non-standard spectrum that involves as continuous shift of the flat space frequencies, but without a mass term [29]. In our example, we see that the parameter γ of [29] is realized as a dipole deformation in the field theory.

5. The thermal partition function

The canonical ensemble of strings in the light-cone gauge has been studied in [30, 31]. Investigation of the UV $(p^+ \to \infty)$ behavior of the thermal partition function of string theory in flat space leads to the presence of the Hagedorn temperature, $T_H = 1/4\pi\sqrt{\alpha'}$ above of which the theory becomes ill-defined.

The thermal properties of string theory in the maximally supersymmetric pp-wave background [17] were analyzed in [18] and [32] where a significantly different behavior is found: The mass parameter in the spectrum $w_n = \sqrt{n^2 + m^2}$, depends on p^+ as $m = \mu p^+ \alpha'$, where μ is the value of the five-form in the geometry. This non-trivial dependence on p^+ alters the UV asymptotics of the thermal partition function and results in a change in the Hagedorn temperature [18][32]. Depending on the value of μ , the Hagedorn temperature ranges from the flat space value $T_H = 1/4\pi\sqrt{\alpha'}$ for $\mu = 0$ to $T_H = \infty$ for $\mu = \infty$. The thermal properties is further studied in [33] where it is shown that the free energy of an ideal gas of strings in the maximally symmetric pp-wave background stays finite at the Hagedorn temperature, hence the Hagedorn behavior possibly signals a phase transition.

A similar investigation has been carried out for the pp-wave [14] of the MN background in [19]. The authors of [19] showed that the thermal behavior of strings in this pp-wave is yet different from the cases above. As the glueball mass parameter m_0 changes the Hagedorn temperature shifts from $T_H = 1/4\pi\sqrt{\alpha'}$ for $m_0 = 0$ to $T_H = \sqrt{3}/4\pi\sqrt{\alpha'}$ for $m_0 = \infty$ [19]. Therefore, one notes that unlike in case of the maximally symmetric pp-wave above, the Hagedorn temperature is bounded as the mass parameter is taken to infinity.⁷ In other words, the density of states in this limit behaves as

$$\rho(E) = \exp(2E/\sqrt{3\alpha'}). \tag{5.1}$$

The numerics can be understood as follows [19]. As the mass parameter m_0 increases, the gravitational potential in the massive transverse directions of the pp-wave becomes steeper. As a result, the strings can populate the density of states only by their motion in the four flat directions of the MN pp-wave. This explains the relevant factor of 1/2 in the exponential of (5.1) with respect to the strings in flat 10D space. The paper [19] also argues that the Hagedorn behavior signals a phase transition rather than a thermal limit.

In this section, we generalize the computations in [18, 32, 19] of the thermal partition function for the case of MN_{γ} pp-wave. Our motivation is to analyze the dependence on the parameter γ . This may give us hints about the universal thermal properties of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM theory in a particular limit that corresponds to the Penrose limit.

We closely follow the notation of [18], however we use canonical methods to compute the partition function rather than the path integral methods in [18]. The finite temperature single-string partition function in the light-cone gauge is [30][19],

$$\mathcal{Z} = \operatorname{tr} e^{-\beta P^{0}} = \operatorname{tr} e^{-\beta (P^{+} + P^{-})} = \int_{0}^{\infty} dp^{+} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} d\lambda \, e^{-\beta p^{+}} \operatorname{tr} e^{-\frac{\beta}{p^{+}} H + 2\pi i \lambda (N_{L} - N_{R})}.$$
 (5.2)

Here H is the light-cone Hamiltonian and λ is a Lagrange multiplier that enforces the level matching condition between the left and right moving excitations of the string. To avoid cluttering in formulas, below we call the transverse directions y_1 as 4, y_2 , y_3 as 5, 6 and z_1 , z_2 as 7 and 8. The light-cone Hamiltonian is,

$$H = \frac{\vec{P}_x^2}{2p^+} + \frac{P_4^2}{2p^+} + \frac{1}{\alpha' p^+} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n^0 \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 N_n^i + N_n^4 \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha' p^+} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(w_n^y (N_n^5 + N_n^6) + w_n^z (N_n^7 + N_n^8) \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(w_n^{++} \sum_{i=1}^4 S_n^i + w_n^{+-} (S_n^5 + N_n^6) + w_n^{-+} (S_n^7 + N_n^8) \right) + H_0.$$
(5.3)

The frequencies are given by the equations (4.7), (4.4), (4.5), (4.17), (4.18) and (4.19). $N_n = N_n^l + N_n^r$ is the total number of left and right bosonic excitations and $S_n = S_n + \tilde{S}_n$ is the number of the chiral and anti-chiral fermionic excitations with mode number n. H_0

⁷See however [28, 34].

is the total zero-point energy in the system:

$$H_0 = 4\Delta^b[0,0] + 2\Delta^b \left[\overline{m}_0, \frac{\overline{m}_0 \bar{\gamma}}{2}\right] + 2\Delta^b \left[\frac{\overline{m}_0}{3}, \frac{\overline{m}_0 \bar{\gamma}}{2}\right] + 4\Delta^f \left[\frac{2\overline{m}_0}{3}, 0\right] + 4\Delta^f \left[\frac{\overline{m}_0}{3}, \frac{\overline{m}_0 \bar{\gamma}}{2}\right],$$
(5.4)

with $\Delta^{b}[m, \alpha]$ defined as the Casimir energy of a massive complex boson of mass m that satisfies a twisted boundary condition on the cylinder [35], $\phi(\tau, \sigma + \pi) = e^{2\pi i \alpha} \phi(\tau, \sigma)$:

$$\Delta^{b}[m,\alpha] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt[\prime]{(n\pm\alpha)^{2} + m^{2}}.$$
(5.5)

Similarly, $\Delta^{f}[m, \alpha]$ is the Casimir energy of a twisted complex fermion of mass m:

$$\Delta^{f}[m,\alpha] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt[\prime]{\left(n - \frac{1}{2} \pm \alpha\right)^{2} + m^{2}}.$$
(5.6)

The primes denote a proper regularization of the sums which we give the precise definitions in the appendix C. We note the following relation between the bosonic and fermionic zeropoint energies:

$$\Delta^{f}[m,\alpha] = \frac{1}{2}\Delta^{b}[2m,2\alpha] - \Delta^{b}[m,\alpha], \qquad (5.7)$$

which is simply derived by dividing the sum in (5.6) into odd and even parts.

In order to demonstrate the modular properties of (5.2) it is useful to introduce the parameter,

$$\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2 \equiv \lambda + i\frac{\beta}{2\pi\alpha' p^+},\tag{5.8}$$

in terms of which (5.2) becomes,

$$\mathcal{Z} = -\frac{\beta}{2\pi\alpha'} \int \frac{d^2\tau}{\tau_2^2} e^{\frac{-\beta^2}{2\pi\alpha'\tau_2}} Z(\tau)$$
(5.9)

where $Z(\tau)$ is the trace in (5.2). The τ integration is over the infinite strip $\tau_2 \ge 0$, $1/2 > \tau_1 > -1/2$.

The use of the Lagrange multiplier λ in (5.2) decouples the contributions from the excitations in different transverse directions. As usual, the fermionic and bosonic contributions can also be computed separately. Therefore the trace Z can simply be evaluated as in the following product form:

$$Z(\tau) = |q|^{H_0 + \frac{1}{3}} \left(\frac{|\eta(\tau)|^{-2}}{\tau_2^{1/2}} \right)^4 \prod_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \frac{\left| 1 + e^{-2\pi\tau_2 w_n^{++} + 2\pi i n \tau_1} \right|^4 \left| 1 + e^{-2\pi\tau_2 w_n^{+-} + 2\pi i n \tau_1} \right|^4}{\left| 1 - e^{-2\pi\tau_2 w_n^{y} + 2\pi i n \tau_1} \right|^2 \left| 1 - e^{-2\pi\tau_2 w_n^{z} + 2\pi i n \tau_1} \right|^2}.$$
 (5.10)

The various contributions in this expression need explanation. The second piece that involves the Dedekind eta function is the standard torus partition function of bosonic string excitations in four flat directions. The eta function,

$$\eta(\tau) = q^{\frac{1}{24}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n), \tag{5.11}$$

includes the sum over excitations of frequency modes $n \geq 1$ and the zero-point energy, $\Delta^b[0,0] = -1/12$. The $\tau_2^{1/2}$ term comes from the Gaussian integration over the center of mass momentum in the flat directions. The exponential piece in front of (5.10) arises from the zero-point energy of the system on the cylinder. H_0 is defined in (5.4). We subtracted the piece that comes from the flat directions because they are already included in the definition of the η function. The numerator of the big product includes contributions from all of the fermionic excitations of mode $n \geq 0$ and finally the denominator of the product involves contributions of bosonic string excitations along the massive directions 5,6,7 and 8. We remark that the mode n = 0 in the massive directions corresponds to the oscillatory motion of the center of mass of the string in the gravitational potential well along these massive transverse directions. We also note that (5.10) reduces to the partition function that is computed in [19] in the limit $\bar{\gamma} \to 0$, as it should.

6. The UV asymptotics

The thermal partition function of a single-string in our pp-wave background is given by the eqs. (5.9) and (5.10). In this section, we study the UV asymptotics of the partition function. The UV regime corresponds to $p^+ \to \infty$ hence $\tau_2 \to 0$ by (5.8). It is easy to see that the only possible divergence of $Z(\tau)$ (5.10) in this limit, is at $\tau_1 = 0$. Thus, in the following we set $\tau_1 = 0$ and study the limit $\tau_2 \to 0$ in order to derive the Hagedorn temperature above of which (5.9) becomes ill-defined.

As the products in (5.10) diverge in this limit, one should utilize the modular transformation properties of the various pieces in $Z(\tau)$. The modular S-transformation of the eta function is given by,

$$\eta(i\tau_2) = \tau_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \eta(i\tau_2^{-1}). \tag{6.1}$$

The infinite products in (5.10) cannot be directly expressed in terms of well-known modular functions, hence their behavior under the S-transformation is less clear. Luckily, for $\tau_1 = 0$, they can be expressed in terms of the *generalized modular functions* first introduced in [36] and further generalized by Takayanagi in [35]. Takayanagi defines the following generalized Dedekind function,

$$Z_{\delta,\alpha}^{(m)}(\tau) = e^{4\pi\tau_2\Delta^b[m,\alpha]} \prod_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} \left| 1 - e^{-2\pi\tau_2\sqrt{m^2 + (n+\alpha)^2} + 2\pi i\tau_1(n+\alpha) + 2\pi i\delta} \right|^2.$$
(6.2)

This function has nice transformation properties under the modular transformations. Its behavior under the S-transformation is derived by Poisson re-summation in [35] and it is shown that,

$$Z_{\delta,\alpha}^{(m)}(\tau) = Z_{\alpha,-\delta}^{(m|\tau|)}\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right).$$
(6.3)

It is not hard to see that, for $\tau_1 = 0$, the denominator of (5.10) together with the corresponding zero point energy terms in the exponential can directly be expressed in terms of (6.2). The same is true for the numerator as well if one uses $(1+e^x) = (1-e^{2x})/(1-e^x)$.

Using also the relation (5.7), all in all one obtains,

$$Z(i\tau_2) = \frac{|q|^{2(\Delta^b[\frac{4\overline{m}_0}{3},0]+\Delta^b[\frac{2\overline{m}_0}{3},2\alpha])} Z_{0,0}^{(2\overline{m}_0/3)}(2i\tau_2)^2 Z_{0,\alpha}^{(\overline{m}_0/3)}(2i\tau_2)^2}}{\tau_2^2 |\eta(i\tau_2)|^8 Z_{0,\alpha}^{(\overline{m}_0)}(i\tau_2) Z_{0,\alpha}^{(\overline{m}_0/3)}(i\tau_2)^3 Z_{0,0}^{(2\overline{m}_0/3)}(i\tau_2)^2},$$
(6.4)

where $\alpha = \overline{m}_0 \overline{\gamma}/2$. Now it is a simple exercise to work out the UV asymptotics of (6.4) if one uses the asymptotics of the Dedekind and generalized Dedekind functions as $\tau_2 \to 0$. These can easily be found by employing the modular transformation properties (6.1) and (6.3). Thus as $\tau_2 \to 0$ one obtains,

$$\eta(i\tau_2) \to \tau_2^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\tau_2}\frac{1}{24}}, \qquad Z_{\delta,\alpha}^{(m)}(i\tau_2) \to e^{\frac{4\pi}{\tau_2}\Delta^b[m\tau_2,-\delta]}.$$
(6.5)

Substituting these asymptotics in (5.9) we find that, for temperatures larger than a β_H the thermal partition diverges and the Hagedorn temperature is determined by the following formula:

$$-\left(\frac{\beta_H}{2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'}}\right)^2 - 2\Delta^b \left[\frac{m_0\beta_H}{2\pi}, 0\right] - 2\Delta^b \left[\frac{m_0\beta_H}{6\pi}, 0\right] + 4\Delta^f \left[\frac{m_0\beta_H}{3\pi}, 0\right] + 4\Delta^f \left[\frac{m_0\beta_H}{6\pi}, 0\right] + \frac{1}{3} = 0.$$

$$\tag{6.6}$$

In the derivation, we further used the relation (5.7) and $\overline{m}_0\tau_2 = m_0\beta/2\pi$. In fact the precise value of T_H depends on the way one approaches the singular $\tau = 0$ [32]. This fact shows up as dependence of T_H on the fixed ratio $\theta = \tau_1/\tau_2$. However, the minimum of T_H as a function of this ratio is at $\theta = 0$, which coincides with 6.6. Therefore, 6.6 defines the absolute temperature that signals an irregular behavior in the thermal partition function. In either case, T_H stays unaltered under the γ -deformation.

Another important subtlety in the expression (6.6) is the question that concerns the regularization of the Casimir energies. As pointed out in [28], in fact, the sum over the Casimir energies that appear in (6.6) is finite without regularization, due to the underlying supersymmetry in the theory. Therefore [28] argues that regularizing separate Casimir energies as in the appendix C unnecessarily subtracts a finite term from this expression. Using that prescription, one instead obtains (6.6) where the sum over the regularized Casimir energies, E'_0 , is replaced by the unregulated sum $E_0 = E'_0 + \delta_0$. For our geometry, the difference is $\delta_0 = (32/9 \log(2) - 4 \log(3))\overline{m}_0^2$. This additional term, alters the Hagedorn behavior in the limit $m_0 \to \infty$. For our purposes in this paper, it suffices to note that, this modification does not alter our conclusion: T_H stays unaltered under the γ -deformation.⁸

It is quite surprising that we obtained exactly the same Hagedorn temperature as the one for the pp-wave of the original MN background [19]. We note that the parameter $\bar{\gamma}$ enters the spectra in a non-trivial way, therefore the thermal partition function in the MN_{γ} pp-wave depends on $\bar{\gamma}$ non-trivially. It is easy to trace back the mathematical reason for the unexpected independence of T_H on $\bar{\gamma}$: For $\tau_1 = 0$, the dependence of $Z(\tau)$ on $\bar{\gamma}$

⁸We used the regularized sum in appendix C in order to use the nice modular properties of the function (6.2). Shifting H_0 by δ_0 in (5.10) gives the result with E_0 above, without the need to alter our discussion on the modular properties of the various functions above. We thank A. Cotrone and F. Bigazzi for communication on these issues.

is confined in the coefficient α in (6.4) that only appears inside the generalized Dedekind functions and the exponential in front. As under the S-transformation (6.3), the coefficients δ and α are interchanged, the UV asymptotic of the generalized Dedekind function becomes independent of $\bar{\gamma}$. Similarly the dependence on α in the exponential in (6.4) disappears as $\tau_2 \to 0$, i.e. $q \to 1$. However, the dependence on $\bar{\gamma}$ is manifest in other regions of the moduli space τ . Apparently, the independence of the parameter α of the UV asymptotics of (6.2) was first noticed in [32], in a similar computation concerning the maximally symmetric pp-wave background. In that paper, this parameter had no physical significance but kept just as a dummy index in the functions, in order to study their modular transformations. In the maximally symmetric background, it does not appear in the energy spectrum of the string excitations.

In order to understand the nature of the Hagedorn temperature, one needs to look at the free-energy of an ideal gas of strings in this background as in [19][33]. Although we did not carry out this computation (because our main focus of discussion is rather different in this paper), the fact that the dependence on γ only enters as $n \to n + \gamma$ we strongly believe that the free-energy stays finite at T_H also in our case. Therefore it is reasonable to conjecture that the Hagedorn behavior signals a phase transition also in the γ deformed background.

7. Discussion and outlook

In this paper, we investigated the idea that a quantity that is independent of the deformation parameter γ in the MN_{γ} background is likely to give reliable information on the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM in the IR. One obvious loophole is that, perhaps there exist quantities which are independent of the deformation not because they do not receive contributions from the KK-sector but because their dependence on the dipole deformation vanishes either because of some symmetry reasons or in some particular limits that render the effects of the dipole deformation negligible. It is quite important to make this point as rigorous as possible. Indeed, our construction in this paper illustrates this possibility. Although the pp-wave that we constructed is conjectured to describe the dynamics of KK-hadrons, there exist quantities in the thermal domain that do not exhibit dependence on the dipole parameter γ . Thus, our example makes it clear that independence of a field theory quantity of the deformation is only a *necessary but not sufficient* condition for the universality. However we beleive that one can argue for true universality by supplying this necessary condition with additional arguments. For example, we beleive that certain quantities computed in [1] fall into this class.

As an illustration of a quantity that is independent of γ , we computed the Hagedorn temperature of the strings in a pp-wave that is obtained from the MN_{γ} background of [1]. The fact that the Hagedorn temperature is independent of the deformation parameter may give us hints about the universal properties of the MN background that are independent of the KK sector, hence may be directly relevant for the IR dynamics of pure $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM. A naive point of view would be to claim that the Hagedorn temperature given by (6.6) is a fully universal quantity of pure $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM. We rather attribute this fact to the certain Penrose limit that we take in order to define this quantity: in the limit $J \to \infty$, which corresponds to scaling the Hilbert space of operators in the field theory as in the BMN theory [37], and in the UV regime $p^+ \to \infty$, most probably the quantity that we define has no universal meaning for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM. Although the KK-modes give the main contribution to the Hagedorn density of states, dependence of the Hagedorn temperature on $\bar{\gamma}$ gets diluted in the UV and disappears. Even if this is the true explanation, the fact that the UV asymptotics of strings in different pp-wave backgrounds are exactly the same is an interesting phenomenon in its own right and deserves better understanding.

One may still hope to entertain an optimistic view, by proposing the following explanation for the independence of the Hagedorn temperature of the dipole moments in the KK sector. One may argue for the claim that T_H is a universal quantity of SYM in the large R-charge limit as follows: We recall that the current used in the Penrose limit is $J = -i\partial_{\psi} - i\partial_{\tilde{\varphi}} + i/3\partial_{\varphi}$. As the gluinos of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM are charged under $i\partial_{\psi}$, one may be able to build very massive gauge-invariant states of large charge J out of gluini and glue and these states would also survive the limit. The Hagedorn density may be receiving the main contribution from these states. However, this possibility is easy to rule out. We recall that one can in fact choose the null geodesic for the pp-wave such that J is in the diagonal of the two U(1) groups under which only the KK-states are charged. This leaves some terms of the form $dx^+ d\phi$ etc. in the metric, hence computation of the spectrum is harder. However, at the end this amounts to shifting the whole spectrum by a constant term proportional to \overline{m}_0 [14]. Running our computation for the Hagedorn temperature again with the new spectrum, one still observes independence of the deformation parameter $\bar{\gamma}$ (although the expression for T_H depends on which geodesic we use for the Penrose limit, hence is different than (6.6)). Therefore Hagedorn density of states should not be receiving contributions from the pure SYM states.

There are several other directions that one would like to explore. It would be very interesting to obtain examples of true "universal" quantities from the MN_{γ} background which preferably admit an easier interpretation from the field theory point of view. For a quantity Q that is independent of γ , one can argue for the universality as follows. One would like to say that in the limit $\bar{\gamma} \to \infty$, Q stays the same but the remnants of the KK-sector is swept out of the spectrum. This is not quite true because as seen from the figure 1, whatever the value of $\bar{\gamma}$ is, the region of the KK-spectra near the minimum of the w_{-} branch never really decouples. However, in this limit the contribution from this region carry extremely high excitation number n. Thus, if one constructs Q such that it receives contributions mainly from the lowest lying excitations, then one can argue that this quantity carries reliable information on the pure SYM. Here the excitation number n should be dual to a quantum number carried by the glueballs in the large N limit. The Hagedorn temperature is not of this type because in the torus partition function, the contribution from the lowest and highest modes are all mixed up through the modular transformation properties.

Secondly, one may apply our methods to the case of the pp-wave that is obtained from the KS background in [14]. We expect that the γ deformed KS background [6] also admits a pp-wave similar to ours, and that T_H is again independent of γ . This should be straightforward to work out, albeit technically more involved than our case. As the field theory that corresponds to the KS pp-wave is better understood [14], this exercise would help clarifying our discussion above. It should also be very interesting to carry out a similar analysis in the backgrounds that are dual to the γ deformation of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ theories with flavors [38].

Another interesting direction would be to study the BMN type states dual to the ppwave in question. A similar study was done in [16]. The BMN states should involve nontrivial dependence on the parameter γ . The way that this dependence arises is suggested by the fact that our pp-wave spectrum is the spectrum of fields with twisted b.c. on the cylinder, i.e. $\phi(\sigma + \pi) = e^{2\pi i \gamma} \phi(\sigma)$ (see [12] for a discussion on this point). A similar oneparameter generalization of the BMN states is found in [39]. After construction of these states, it will be interesting to study, for example, their supersymmetry properties [40], [41].

A question that is independent of the above concerns is the stringy corrections to the MN_{γ} background. We believe that our pp-wave solution is an exact solution to string theory including the α' corrections by the argument of [42], [43]. However g_s corrections are much harder to study than the case of the flat space or the maximally symmetric pp-wave of [17]. In the latter cases the g_s corrections to the light-cone Hamiltonian follow from symmetry restrictions [44], [45] that are less restrictive here. Apart from this discussion, the fact that γ is directly proportional to the value of the axion in the pp-wave geometry may give us a hint about the stringy corrections to the MN_{γ} background: perhaps these corrections should promote the parameter γ to a dynamical variable.

One can also ask whether or not our pp-wave geometry can directly be obtained as a deformation of the MN pp-wave [14].⁹ The SL(3, R) transformations used in this paper when directly applied to a pp-wave geometry generally does not result in another pp-wave geometry. However, a class of deformations of a pp-wave that again give rise to pp-waves are discussed e.g. in [46]. It would be quite interesting to explore this point further.

Finally, we would like to point out an interesting application of our results to the β -deformed $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory. In [6], a pp-wave geometry is constructed from the gravity dual of this field theory. By comparison of the spectra of that pp-wave to the pp-wave considered here, one realizes that our arguments about the independence of T_H of the deformation parameter possibly holds for that case as well. This fact has immediate consequences for the thermal properties of the corresponding field theory. It implies that, in the limit of large *R*-charge two different field theories, a superconformal $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM theory and the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM theory has exactly the same Hagedorn behavior. It should be interesting to pursue this observation further in the light of [47].

Acknowledgments

It is a pleasure to thank Chang-hyun Ahn, Francesco Bigazzi, Roberto Casero, Aldo Cotrone, Mariana Graña, Clifford Johnson, Elias Kiritsis, Francesco Nitti, Carlos Núñez and Angel Paredes-Galan for useful discussions. This work was partially supported by ANR

⁹We thank Elias Kiritsis for attracting our attention to this possibility.

grant NT05-1-41861, INTAS grant, 03-51-6346, RTN contracts MRTN-CT-2004-005104 and MRTN-CT-2004-503369, CNRS PICS 2530 and 3059 and by a European Excellence Grant, MEXT-CT-2003-509661.

A. Details of the background

In this appendix, we present some details of the MN_{γ} background. For completeness let us first give expressions for the quantities that appear in the MN background. The one-forms that define the fibration of the S^2 on the S^3 in (2.2) are,

$$A^{1} = -a(r)d\theta, \quad A^{2} = a(r)\sin\theta d\varphi, \quad A^{3} = -\cos\theta d\varphi, \quad (A.1)$$

and the left invariant SU(2) one-forms are given by,

$$w_{1} = \cos \psi d\tilde{\theta} + \sin \psi \sin \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi} ,$$

$$w_{2} = -\sin \psi d\tilde{\theta} + \cos \psi \sin \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi} ,$$

$$w_{3} = d\psi + \cos \tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\varphi} .$$
(A.2)

Ranges of the three angles are $0 \leq \tilde{\varphi} < 2\pi$, $0 \leq \tilde{\theta} \leq \pi$ and $0 \leq \psi < 4\pi$. Various functions that appear in (2.2) and the dilaton of the MN geometry is given by,

$$a(r) = \frac{2r}{\sinh 2r} ,$$

$$e^{2h} = r \coth 2r - \frac{r^2}{\sinh^2 2r} - \frac{1}{4} ,$$

$$e^{-2\phi} = e^{-2\phi_0} \frac{2e^h}{\sinh 2r} ,$$
(A.3)

The background includes an RR two-form $C_0^{(2)}$, that is given by

$$C_0^{(2)} = \frac{1}{4m_0^2} \left[\psi \left(\sin\theta d\theta \wedge d\varphi - \sin\tilde{\theta} d\tilde{\theta} \wedge d\tilde{\varphi} \right) - \cos\theta \cos\tilde{\theta} d\varphi \wedge d\tilde{\varphi} - - a \left(d\theta \wedge w^1 - \sin\theta d\varphi \wedge w^2 \right) \right].$$
(A.4)

This concludes the definitions concerning the MN geometry.

The angular part of the MN_{γ} metric in (2.3) reads,

$$d\Omega_5^2 = D_1 d\theta^2 + D_2 d\tilde{\theta}^2 + D_3 d\psi^2 + D_4 d\varphi^2 + D_5 d\tilde{\varphi}^2 + E_1 d\theta d\tilde{\theta} + E_2 d\theta d\psi \quad (A.5)$$
$$+ E_3 d\tilde{\theta} d\psi + E_4 d\theta d\tilde{\varphi} + E_5 d\tilde{\theta} d\varphi + E_6 d\psi d\varphi + E_7 d\psi d\tilde{\varphi} + E_8 d\varphi d\tilde{\varphi},$$

where,

$$F = \frac{e^{\phi}}{4m_0^2} \sqrt{f - g^2}, \ D_1 = fa^2 \sin^2 \psi \, \sin^2 \tilde{\theta},$$
$$D_2 = a^2 \sin^2 \theta \, \sin^2 \psi, \ D_3 = f \cos^2 \tilde{\theta} + \cos^2 \theta + 2g \cos \theta \, \cos \tilde{\theta},$$
$$E_1 = 2a^2 g \, \sin^2 \psi \, \sin \theta \, \sin \tilde{\theta}, \ E_2 = 2a \, \sin \psi \, \sin \tilde{\theta} (f \, \cos \tilde{\theta} + g \, \cos \theta),$$

$$E_{3} = 2a \sin \psi \sin \theta (\cos \theta + g \cos \tilde{\theta}), \ D_{4} = f(f - g^{2}), \ D_{5} = f - g^{2},$$
(A.6)

$$E_{4} = 2a \sin \psi \sin \tilde{\theta} (f - g^{2}), \ E_{5} = 2a \sin \psi \sin \theta (f - g^{2}),$$

$$E_{6} = 2 \cos \theta (f - g^{2}), \ E_{7} = 2 \cos \tilde{\theta} (f - g^{2}), \ E_{8} = 2f(f - g^{2}),$$

$$f = 4e^{2h} \sin^{2} \theta + \cos^{2} \theta + a^{2} \sin^{2} \theta, \ g = a \sin \theta \sin \tilde{\theta} \cos \psi - \cos \theta \cos \tilde{\theta}.$$

The one-forms that appear in the RR-forms and the NS-form of MN_{γ} in eqs. (2.5), etc are,

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = (f - g^2)^{-1} \left\{ (a\sin\tilde{\theta}\sin\psi g)d\theta + (a\sin\theta\sin\psi)d\tilde{\theta} + (\cos\theta + g\cos\tilde{\theta})d\psi \right\}.$$
(A.7)

and

$$\mathcal{A}_2 = (f - g^2)^{-1} \left\{ f(a\sin\psi\sin\tilde{\theta})d\theta + ga(\sin\psi\sin\theta)d\tilde{\theta} + (f\cos\tilde{\theta} + g\cos\theta)d\psi \right\}.$$
 (A.8)

All of these expressions involve many simplifications with respect to the form that was originally presented in [1].

B. The coordinate transformation

The coordinate transformation (3.6) of section 3.1 is,

$$e^{\frac{i}{2}(\overline{\psi}+\overline{\varphi})}\cos\frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} = e^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi+\widetilde{\varphi}-\varphi)}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\theta}{2} + e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\psi-\widetilde{\varphi}-\varphi)}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta}{2},$$
$$e^{\frac{i}{2}(\overline{\psi}-\overline{\varphi})}\sin\frac{\overline{\theta}}{2} = e^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi-\widetilde{\varphi}-\varphi)}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}\sin\frac{\theta}{2} - e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\psi+\widetilde{\varphi}-\varphi)}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}\cos\frac{\theta}{2}.$$
(B.1)

There are three linearly independent equations contained in (B.1). We also need the expansion of these coordinate transformations in the first few powers in R:

$$\cos\overline{\theta} = \cos\theta + \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{R}\sin\theta\cos(\psi - \varphi) - \frac{\tilde{\theta}^2}{2R^2}\cos\theta + \mathcal{O}(1/R^3),$$

$$\sin\overline{\theta} = = \sin\theta - \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{R}\cos\theta\cos(\psi - \varphi) + \frac{\tilde{\theta}^2}{4R^2}\frac{\cos 2\theta - \cos 2(\psi - \varphi)}{\sin\theta} + \mathcal{O}(1/R^3),$$

$$\cos\overline{\psi} = -1 + \frac{\tilde{\theta}^2}{2R^2}\frac{\sin^2(\psi - \varphi)}{\sin^2\theta} + \mathcal{O}(1/R^3),$$

$$\sin\overline{\psi} = \frac{\tilde{\theta}}{R}\frac{\sin(\psi - \varphi)}{\sin\theta} + \mathcal{O}(1/R^3).$$

(B.2)

For the Penrose limit of the various n-forms in the geometry, in fact, one needs more than the first terms of the line elements in (3.15):

$$d\overline{\theta} = d\theta - \frac{1}{R} \left(d\tilde{\theta} \cos(\psi - \varphi) - \tilde{\theta} \sin(\psi - \varphi) (d\psi - d\varphi) \right) + \mathcal{O}(1/R^2), \tag{B.3}$$
$$d\overline{\psi} = -\frac{1}{R \sin \theta} \left(d\tilde{\theta} \sin(\psi - \varphi) + \tilde{\theta} \cos(\psi - \varphi) (d\psi - d\varphi) - \tilde{\theta} \cot \theta \sin(\psi - \varphi) d\theta \right) + \mathcal{O}(1/R^2).$$

C. The regularized Casimir energies

The regularization of the Casimir energies in (5.5) and (5.6) are discussed in [18] and [35] and most extensively in [28]. Throughout the paper we use the definition,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{(n+a)^2 + m^2} = -\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \int_0^\infty ds e^{-p^2 s - \frac{\pi^2 m^2}{s}} \cos(2\pi pa).$$
(C.1)

The fermionic sum can similarly be defined by making the substitution $a \rightarrow a - 1/2$ above.

References

- [1] U. Gursoy and C. Núñez, Dipole deformations of N = 1 SYM and supergravity backgrounds with $U(1) \times U(1)$ global symmetry, Nucl. Phys. B 725 (2005) 45 [hep-th/0505100].
- [2] J.M. Maldacena, The large-N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231 [hep-th/9711200];
 S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory, Phys. Lett. B 428 (1998) 105 [hep-th/9802109];
 E. Witten, Anti-de Sitter space, thermal phase transition and confinement in gauge theories, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 505 [hep-th/9803131].
- [3] E. Witten, Anti-de Sitter space and holography, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 253 [hep-th/9802150].
- [4] J.M. Maldacena and C. Núñez, Towards the large-N limit of pure N = 1 super Yang-Mills, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 588 [hep-th/0008001].
- [5] R.P. Andrews and N. Dorey, Spherical deconstruction, Phys. Lett. B 631 (2005) 74 [hep-th/0505107].
- [6] O. Lunin and J. Maldacena, Deforming field theories with $U(1) \times U(1)$ global symmetry and their gravity duals, JHEP 05 (2005) 033 [hep-th/0502086].
- [7] R.G. Leigh and M.J. Strassler, Exactly marginal operators and duality in four-dimensional N = 1 supersymmetric gauge theory, Nucl. Phys. B 447 (1995) 95 [hep-th/9503121].
- [8] S.A. Frolov, R. Roiban and A.A. Tseytlin, Gauge-string duality for superconformal deformations of N = 4 super Yang-Mills theory, JHEP 07 (2005) 045 [hep-th/0503192];
 S. Frolov, Lax pair for strings in Lunin-Maldacena background, JHEP 05 (2005) 069 [hep-th/0503201];
 N. Beisert and R. Roiban, Beauty and the twist: the Bethe ansatz for twisted N = 4 SYM, JHEP 08 (2005) 039 [hep-th/0505187];
 T. Mateos, Marginal deformation of N = 4 SYM and Penrose limits with continuum spectrum, JHEP 08 (2005) 026 [hep-th/0505243];
 N.P. Bobev, H. Dimov and R.C. Rashkov, Semiclassical strings in Lunin-Maldacena background, hep-th/0506063;

D.Z. Freedman and U. Gursoy, Comments on the beta-deformed N = 4 SYM theory, JHEP 11 (2005) 042 [hep-th/0506128];

S. Penati, A. Santambrogio and D. Zanon, *Two-point correlators in the beta-deformed* N = 4 SYM at the next-to-leading order, JHEP **10** (2005) 023 [hep-th/0506150];

S.A. Frolov, R. Roiban and A.A. Tseytlin, *Gauge-string duality for (non)supersymmetric deformations of* N = 4 *super Yang-Mills theory, Nucl. Phys.* **B 731** (2005) 1 [hep-th/0507021];

G.C. Rossi, E. Sokatchev and Y.S. Stanev, New results in the deformed N = 4 Sym theory, Nucl. Phys. B 729 (2005) 581 [hep-th/0507113];

A. Mauri, S. Penati, A. Santambrogio and D. Zanon, *Exact results in planar* N = 1 superconformal Yang-Mills theory, JHEP **11** (2005) 024 [hep-th/0507282];

S.M. Kuzenko and A.A. Tseytlin, Effective action of beta-deformed N = 4 SYM theory and AdS/CFT, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 075005 [hep-th/0508098];

S. Ryang, Rotating strings with two unequal spins in Lunin-Maldacena background, JHEP 11 (2005) 006 [hep-th/0509195];

H.Y. Chen and S. Prem Kumar, *Precision test of AdS/CFT in Lunin-Maldacena background*, *JHEP* **03** (2006) 051 [hep-th/0511164];

D. Bundzik and T. Mansson, *The general Leigh-Strassler deformation and integrability*, *JHEP* **01** (2006) 116 [hep-th/0512093];

W.-H. Huang, Semiclassical rotating strings in electric and magnetic fields deformed $AdS_5 \times S^5$ spacetimes, Phys. Rev. **D** 73 (2006) 026007 [hep-th/0512117];

V.V. Khoze, Amplitudes in the beta-deformed conformal Yang-Mills, JHEP 02 (2006) 040 [hep-th/0512194];

H.-Y. Chen and K. Okamura, *The anatomy of gauge/string duality in Lunin-Maldacena background*, *JHEP* **02** (2006) 054 [hep-th/0601109];

G. Georgiou and V.V. Khoze, Instanton calculations in the beta-deformed AdS/CFT correspondence, hep-th/0602141.

 C.-h. Ahn and J.F. Vazquez-Poritz, Marginal deformations with U(1)³ global symmetry, JHEP 07 (2005) 032 [hep-th/0505168];

J.P. Gauntlett, S. Lee, T. Mateos and D. Waldram, Marginal deformations of field theories with AdS_4 duals, JHEP **08** (2005) 030 [hep-th/0505207];

R. de Mello Koch, J. Murugan, J. Smolic and M. Smolic, *Deformed pp-waves from the Lunin-Maldacena background*, *JHEP* **08** (2005) 072 [hep-th/0505227];

C.H. Ahn and J.F. Vazquez-Poritz, *Deformations of flows from type-IIB supergravity*, hep-th/0508075;

J.G. Russo, String spectrum of curved string backgrounds obtained by T- duality and shifts of polar angles, JHEP 09 (2005) 031 [hep-th/0508125];

M. Spradlin, T. Takayanagi and A. Volovich, *String theory in beta deformed spacetimes*, *JHEP* **11** (2005) 039 [hep-th/0509036];

R.C. Rashkov, K.S. Viswanathan and Y. Yang, Ts...sT transformation on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background hep-th/0509058;

A.H. Prinsloo, γ_i deformed lax pair for rotating strings in the fast motion limit, JHEP **01** (2006) 050 [hep-th/0510095];

R. Hernandez, K. Sfetsos and D. Zoakos, Gravity duals for the Coulomb branch of marginally deformed N = 4 Yang-Mills, JHEP **03** (2006) 069 [hep-th/0510132];

L. Freyhult, C. Kristjansen and T. Mansson, Integrable spin chains with $U(1)^3$ symmetry and generalized Lunin-Maldacena backgrounds, JHEP 12 (2005) 008 [hep-th/0510221];

R.C. Rashkov, K.S. Viswanathan and Y. Yang, Generalization of the Lunin-Maldacena transformation on the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ background, Phys. Rev. **D** 72 (2005) 106008;

L.F. Alday, G. Arutyunov and S. Frolov, *Green-Schwarz strings in TST-transformed backgrounds*, hep-th/0512253;

A. Catal-Ozer, Lunin-Maldacena deformations with three parameters, JHEP 02 (2006) 026

[hep-th/0512290].

- [10] A. Bergman and O.J. Ganor, Dipoles, twists and noncommutative gauge theory, JHEP 10 (2000) 018 [hep-th/0008030];
 A. Bergman, K. Dasgupta, O.J. Ganor, J.L. Karczmarek and G. Rajesh, Nonlocal field theories and their gravity duals, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 066005 [hep-th/0103090].
- [11] P. Di Vecchia, A. Lerda and P. Merlatti, N = 1 and N = 2 super Yang-Mills theories from wrapped branes, Nucl. Phys. B 646 (2002) 43 [hep-th/0205204];
 M. Bertolini and P. Merlatti, A note on the dual of N = 1 super Yang-Mills theory, Phys. Lett. B 556 (2003) 80 [hep-th/0211142].
- [12] K. Landsteiner and S. Montero, KK-masses in dipole deformed field theories, hep-th/0602035.
- [13] S.S. Pal, Beta-deformations, potentials and KK modes, Phys. Rev. D 72 (2005) 065006 [hep-th/0505257];
 N.P. Bobev, H. Dimov and R.C. Rashkov, Semiclassical strings, dipole deformations of N = 1 SYM and decoupling of kk modes, JHEP 02 (2006) 064 [hep-th/0511216].
- [14] E.G. Gimon, L.A. Pando Zayas, J. Sonnenschein and M.J. Strassler, A soluble string theory of hadrons, JHEP 05 (2003) 039 [hep-th/0212061].
- [15] S. Kuperstein and J. Sonnenschein, Analytic non-supersymmetric background dual of a confining gauge theory and the corresponding plane wave theory of hadrons, JHEP 02 (2004) 015 [hep-th/0309011];
 F. Bigazzi, A.L. Cotrone and L. Martucci, Semiclassical spinning strings and confining gauge theories, Nucl. Phys. B 694 (2004) 3 [hep-th/0403261];
 F. Bigazzi, A.L. Cotrone, L. Martucci and L.A. Pando Zayas, Wilson loop, Regge trajectory and hadron masses in a Yang-Mills theory from semiclassical strings, Phys. Rev. D 71 (2005) 066002 [hep-th/0409205].
- [16] V. Niarchos and N. Prezas, BMN operators for N = 1 superconformal Yang-Mills theories and associated string backgrounds, JHEP 06 (2003) 015 [hep-th/0212111].
- [17] M. Blau, J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, C. Hull and G. Papadopoulos, A new maximally supersymmetric background of IIB superstring theory, JHEP 01 (2002) 047 [hep-th/0110242].
- [18] L.A. Pando Zayas and D. Vaman, Strings in RR plane wave background at finite temperature, Phys. Rev. D 67 (2003) 106006 [hep-th/0208066].
- [19] L.A. Pando Zayas and D. Vaman, Hadronic density of states from string theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (2003) 111602 [hep-th/0306107].
- [20] R. Penrose, Any space-time has a plane wave as a limit, Differential Geometry and Relativity, Reidel, Dordrecht (271) 1976.
- [21] R. Güven, Plane wave limits and T-duality, Phys. Lett. B 482 (2000) 255 [hep-th/0005061].
- [22] I.R. Klebanov and M.J. Strassler, Supergravity and a confining gauge theory: duality cascades and χSB -resolution of naked singularities, JHEP 08 (2000) 052 [hep-th/0007191].
- [23] G. Bertoldi, F. Bigazzi, A.L. Cotrone, C. Núñez and L.A. Pando Zayas, On the universality class of certain string theory hadrons, Nucl. Phys. B 700 (2004) 89 [hep-th/0401031].

- [24] R.R. Metsaev, Type IIB Green-Schwarz superstring in plane wave Ramond-Ramond background, Nucl. Phys. B 625 (2002) 70 [hep-th/0112044].
- [25] J.G. Russo and A.A. Tseytlin, On solvable models of type-IIB superstring in NS-NS and RR plane wave backgrounds, JHEP 04 (2002) 021 [hep-th/0202179].
- [26] D. Brecher, C.V. Johnson, K.J. Lovis and R.C. Myers, *Penrose limits, deformed pp-waves* and the string duals of N = 1 large-N gauge theory, JHEP **10** (2002) 008 [hep-th/0206045].
- [27] S. Ferrara, L. Girardello and F. Palumbo, A general mass formula in broken supersymmetry, Phys. Rev. D 20 (1979) 403.
- [28] F. Bigazzi and A.L. Cotrone, On zero-point energy, stability and hagedorn behavior of type-IIB strings on pp-waves, JHEP 08 (2003) 052 [hep-th/0306102].
- [29] J.G. Russo and A.A. Tseytlin, Constant magnetic field in closed string theory: an exactly solvable model, Nucl. Phys. B 448 (1995) 293 [hep-th/9411099].
- [30] E. Alvarez, Strings at finite temperature, Nucl. Phys. B 269 (1986) 596.
- [31] E. Alvarez and M.A.R. Osorio, Superstrings at finite temperature, Phys. Rev. D 36 (1987) 1175.
- B.R. Greene, K. Schalm and G. Shiu, On the hagedorn behaviour of pp-wave strings and N = 4 SYM theory at finite R-charge density, Nucl. Phys. B 652 (2003) 105
 [hep-th/0208163].
- [33] R.C. Brower, D.A. Lowe and C.I. Tan, Hagedorn transition for strings on pp-waves and tori with chemical potentials, Nucl. Phys. B 652 (2003) 127 [hep-th/0211201].
- [34] R. Apreda, F. Bigazzi and A.L. Cotrone, Strings on pp-waves and hadrons in (softly broken) N = 1 gauge theories, JHEP 12 (2003) 042 [hep-th/0307055].
- [35] T. Takayanagi, Modular invariance of strings on pp-waves with RR-flux, JHEP 12 (2002) 022 [hep-th/0206010].
- [36] O. Bergman, M.R. Gaberdiel and M.B. Green, D-brane interactions in type-IIB plane-wave background, JHEP 03 (2003) 002 [hep-th/0205183].
- [37] D. Berenstein, J.M. Maldacena and H. Nastase, Strings in flat space and pp waves from N = 4 super Yang-Mills, JHEP 04 (2002) 013 [hep-th/0202021].
- [38] R. Casero, C. Núñez and A. Paredes, Towards the string dual of N = 1 SQCD-like theories, hep-th/0602027.
- [39] T. Takayanagi and S. Terashima, Strings on orbifolded pp-waves, JHEP 06 (2002) 036 [hep-th/0203093].
- [40] U. Gursoy, Vector operators in the BMN correspondence, JHEP 07 (2003) 048 [hep-th/0208041].
- [41] N. Beisert, BMN operators and superconformal symmetry, Nucl. Phys. B 659 (2003) 79 [hep-th/0211032].
- [42] D. Amati and C. Klimčík, Strings in a shock wave background and generation of curved geometry from flat space string theory, Phys. Lett. B 210 (1988) 92.
- [43] G.T. Horowitz and A.R. Steif, Space-time singularities in string theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 (1990) 260.

- [44] M.B. Green, J.H. Schwarz and L. Brink, Superfield theory of type-II superstrings, Nucl. Phys. B 219 (1983) 437.
- [45] M. Spradlin and A. Volovich, Superstring interactions in a pp-wave background, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 086004 [hep-th/0204146].
- [46] G. D'Appollonio and E. Kiritsis, String interactions in gravitational wave backgrounds, Nucl. Phys. B 674 (2003) 80 [hep-th/0305081].
- [47] O. Aharony, J. Marsano, S. Minwalla, K. Papadodimas and M. Van Raamsdonk, The hagedorn/deconfinement phase transition in weakly coupled large-N gauge theories, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 8 (2004) 603 [hep-th/0310285].